

Academic Integrity and Artificial Intelligence Use Policy

Provider	The Bright College RTO 45752 CRICOS 03945D
Campus	Level 2, 440 William Street, Perth WA 6000
Policy version	3.1
Regulatory review	15 September 2026
Applies to	All applicable domestic and international students
Policy owner	CEO / RTO Manager
Next review	15 September 2027

Regulatory framework

- National Vocational Education and Training Regulator Act 2011 (Cth).
- National Vocational Education and Training Regulator (Outcome Standards for Registered Training Organisations) Instrument 2025 (effective for RTOs from 1 July 2025).
- Copyright Act 1968 (Cth).

Compliance note: This draft separates and updates the Version 3.1 policy framework against the regulatory position reviewed on 15 September 2026. Applicable legislation, regulatory instruments, registration conditions and formally approved College documents take precedence if a conflict is identified. Final operational approval and implementation evidence remain the responsibility of The Bright College.

Policy and procedure

The Bright College is committed to maintaining the integrity of training, assessment and certification outcomes. Students must submit work that is their own and must not engage in plagiarism, collusion, contract cheating, falsification of evidence, inappropriate use of artificial intelligence, or any other conduct that compromises the authenticity, validity or reliability of assessment evidence.

Academic Integrity Procedure

- Students must be informed at orientation and before assessment that all submitted evidence must be their own work and must meet the rules of evidence, including authenticity and currency.
- Trainers and assessors must provide clear instructions about whether artificial intelligence tools may be used for a particular assessment task. Where use is allowed, students must acknowledge the tool used and explain how it was used.
- Artificial intelligence tools must not be used to generate assessment evidence where the assessment requires the student to demonstrate their own knowledge, skills, workplace performance, judgement, communication or reflection.
- Where authenticity is in doubt, the assessor may conduct supplementary questioning, observation, oral verification, practical demonstration or request additional evidence.

Document Name: Academic Integrity and Artificial Intelligence Use Policy and Procedures	RTO Code: 45752	CRICOS Code: 03945D
Version: 3.1	Approved: Ramen Poonen	Review Date: 15/09/2027
		Page 1 of 2

- Suspected plagiarism, collusion, contract cheating, falsified workplace evidence or inappropriate artificial intelligence use must be reported to the Academic Manager or RTO Manager and recorded in accordance with the assessment, complaints/appeals and continuous improvement procedures.
- Students must be given procedural fairness, including the opportunity to respond to concerns before a final decision is made.
- Confirmed academic misconduct may result in reassessment, a Not Yet Competent outcome, cancellation of assessment evidence, referral to the complaints and appeals process, or other action consistent with The Bright College's policies.

Document Name: Academic Integrity and Artificial Intelligence Use Policy and Procedures		RTO Code: 45752	CRICOS Code: 03945D
Version: 3.1	Approved: Ramen Poonen	Review Date: 15/09/2027	Page 2 of 2